UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/099,779 | 03/14/2002 | Todd Weston Arnold | AUS920010984US1 | 4841 |

| | |
|---|---|
| 40412          7590          03/22/2007<br>IBM CORPORATION- AUSTIN (JVL)<br>C/O VAN LEEUWEN & VAN LEEUWEN<br>PO BOX 90609<br>AUSTIN, TX 78709-0609 | EXAMINER |
| | WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L |

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|---|---|
| 2137 | |

| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|---|---|---|
| 3 MONTHS | 03/22/2007 | PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 10/099,779 | ARNOLD ET AL. |
| **Office Action Summary** | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jeffery Williams | 2137 | |

*-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --*

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December 2006</u>.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.        2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) <u>1, 6 – 8, 14, and 19 – 29</u> is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1, 6 – 8, 14, and 19 – 29</u> is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 March 2002</u> is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

1                                    **DETAILED ACTION**

2

3          This action is in response to the communication filed on 12/07/2006.

4          All objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn.

5          Claims 1, 6 – 8, 14, and 19 – 29 are pending.

6

7          In view of the supplemental appeal brief filed on 12/7/06, PROSECUTION IS

8   HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

9          To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the

10  following two options:

11          (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply

12  under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

13          (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed

14  by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and

15  appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth

16  in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant

17  must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

18          A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by

19  signing below:

20

21

1                                   *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101*

2

3         35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

4         Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of
5         matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the
6         conditions and requirements of this title.
7
8         **Claims 14, 19, 20, 27 - 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed**

9    **invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.** Regarding these claims, they

10   comprise a program stored upon computer media, said media including carrier waves

11   (see instant application, pg. 26, lines 1 – 6). Descriptive material per se does not fall

12   within the statutory categories of invention. Furthermore, descriptive material born by

13   signals fails to fall within the statutory categories of invention.

14

15                                  *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

16

17        The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

18   obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

19        (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set
20        forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and
21        the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the
22        invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
23        Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
24
25
26        **Claims 1, 6 – 8, 14, and 19 – 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being**

27   **unpatentable over Al-Salqan, "Method and Apparatus for Encoding Keys", U.S.**

28   **Patent, 6,549,626 in view of U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), "Security**

1    **Requirements for Cryptographic Modules" in view of Hosokawa, "Internet**

2    **Broadcast Billing System", U.S. Patent Publication, 2001/0023416 A1.**

3

4         Regarding claim 1, Al-Salqan discloses:

5         *receiving, at a security module, a first password corresponding a software*

6    *application* (Al-Salqan, col. 2, lines 12-28, 49-63; fig. 2, elem. 204).  Herein, Al-Salqan

7    teaches that users may use computers to perform cryptographic applications.  For

8    example, to utilize a cryptographic key, a user may employ an application to provide a

9    password, derive a key, and perform cryptographic operations upon data such as a file.

10   The inventive method of Al-Salqan is for facilitating the operation of such application.

11        *generating, at a security module,  a first mask value based on the first password*

12   (Al-Salqan, col. 4, lines 29-46; fig. 2); *combining, at a security module, the first mask*

13   *value with a first encryption key* (Al-Salqan, col. 4, lines 49-52; fig. 2);

14        *encrypting, at the security module, the tied key using a second encryption key*

15   *that is associated with the security module, the encrypting resulting in an encrypted tied*

16   *key* (Al-Salqan, fig. 2).  Furthermore, the applicant is kindly reminded of the evidence

17   submitted by the applicant's representative, admitting to the Prior Art's (Al-Salqan)

18   teachings ("Prior Art Flow Diagram", Telephonic Interview, 11/15/05).

19        Al-Salqan discloses the returning of the encrypted tied key to what is termed the

20   "user".  While, the Applicants themselves also equate a software application to the

21   "user" (Instant Application, pg. 2, line 19 – pg. 3, line 2), the examiner notes that Al-

1    Salqan does not explicitly state that a software application is represented by "the user" -

2    hence, *returning the encrypted tied key to the software application.*

3         DOC more clearly shows that a user employs a software application to interact

4    with a security module inside a computer.  DOC teaches that a security module

5    provides cryptographic services to software applications employed by users (iv, #8; pg.

6    27, sect. 4.6).  When a user requests cryptographic services from a security module, the

7    software application representing the user communicates with the security module using

8    an application program interface (pg. 14, sect. 4.2; pg. 27, 28, iv).

9         It would have obvious to recognize the teachings of DOC, that a human employs

10   a software application to interact with a security module within a computer, along with

11   the teachings of Al-Salqan.  This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill

12   in the art would have been motivated to practically provide a means for a human to

13   accomplish a cryptographic application in cooperation with a security module inside a

14   computer.

15        The combination enables:

16        *determining, at the software application, that the encrypted tied key corresponds*

17   *to the security module; in response to the determining, sending the encrypted tied key*

18   *and a second password from the software application to the security module over a*

19   *computer network, the second password being the same as the first password* (Al-

20   Salqan, fig. 3, elems. 302,306).  Herein, the combination discloses that the software

21   application transmits the correct password and a corresponding tied key to the security

22   module, effectively determining the correspondence of the key to the security module.

1        *receiving, at the security module, the encrypted tied key and the second*

2        *password from the software application; in response to receiving the encrypted tied key*

3        *and the second password, combining, at the security module, the encrypted tied key*

4        *and the second key, the combining resulting in a recovered tied key* (Al-Salqan, fig. 3).

5        Furthermore, the applicant is kindly reminded of the evidence submitted by the

6        applicant's representative, admitting to the Prior Art's (Al-Salqan) teachings ("Prior Art

7        Flow Diagram", Telephonic Interview, 11/15/05).

8        *generating a second mask value based on the second password* (Al-Salqan, col.

9        4, lines 29-46; fig. 3). Furthermore, the applicant is kindly reminded of the evidence

10       submitted by the applicant's representative, admitting to the Prior Art's (Al-Salqan)

11       teachings ("Prior Art Flow Diagram", Telephonic Interview, 11/15/05);

12       *separating a recovered encryption key from the recovered tied key using the*

13       *second mask value* (Al-Salqan, col. 7, lines 45-49; fig. 3). Furthermore, the applicant is

14       kindly reminded of the evidence submitted by the applicant's representative, admitting

15       to the Prior Art's (Al-Salqan) teaching of the recovery of an recovered encryption key

16       from the recovered tied key ("Prior Art Flow Diagram", Telephonic Interview, 11/15/05).

17       *and encrypting data provided by the software application using the recovered*

18       *generated key* (Al-Salqan, Abstract, lines 1-3; col. 1, lines 21-28; col. 2:15-22; col. 3,

19       lines 52-56; DOC, pg. iv, #8). Herein enabled by the combination, is an application that

20       takes data and a recovered key, and facilitates the performance of cryptographic

21       operations such as encryption and decryption.

22

1        The combination discloses a system designed to ensure the secrecy of a data

2    encryption key, such as a symmetric key.  Secrecy is accomplished by encrypting the

3    data encryption key.  However, though the combination discloses enabling the secrecy

4    of a symmetric data encryption key, it does not disclose the enabling of the authenticity

5    of the key.  Thus, the combination does not disclose wherein the first "encryption key" *is*

6    *derived from a generated key and a known value the combining resulting a tied key* or

7    that the recovered "encryption key" includes *a recovered generated key and a*

8    *recovered known value*.

9        Hosokawa discloses a method for the verification of the authenticity of a data-

10    encryption key, the method being performed "as a security measure" (Hosokawa, par

11    37).  This "security measure" of ensuring authenticity is additional to the security

12    measure of ensuring secrecy - encrypting the data encryption key. The method

13    comprises the creation of a "tied key", or an "encryption key" derived from a generated

14    key and a known value (Hosokawa, par. 32, lines 8-12; par. 33, lines 1-5; par. 37, lines

15    11-13; par. 44, lines 11-18).  Hosokawa attaches a "known value", a digital signature, to

16    generated key, and thereby creates a "tied key".  After the "tied key" is decrypted, the

17    attached digital signature is compared to an authentic digital signature so as to verify

18    the authenticity of the generated key.  If authentic, the generated key is used for

19    encrypting data.  Thus, Hosokawa discloses a method usable to verify the authenticity

20    of an encryption key, the method ensuring a measure of security.

21        It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the

22    method of Hosokawa with the system of the combination of Al-Salqan and DOC.  This

1    would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been

2    motivated to enhance the security of the system of combination, by not only enabling

3    the secrecy of the data encryption key, but also the authentication of the data encryption

4    key. Thus, a more secure system is provided.

5

6         Regarding claim 6, the combination disclose:

7         *determining whether the recovered known value is correct; and processing a*

8    *data file based on the determination* (Hosokawa, col. 2, pars. 32, 33; Al-Salqan,

9    Abstract, lines 1-3; col. 7, lines 37-49; col. 3, lines 52-56).

10

11        Regarding claim 7, the combination disclose:

12        *wherein the processing is selected from the group consisting of encrypting the*

13   *data file using the recovered generated key and decrypting the data file using the*

14   *recovered generated key* (Al-Salqan, Abstract, lines 1-3; col. 7, lines 37-49; col. 3, lines

15   52-56).

16

17        Regarding claim 22, the combination disclose:

18        *wherein the generated key is at a level of security corresponding to a sensitivity*

19   *level of the data being encrypted* (Hosokawa, par. 41). The combination disclose that

20   the key is appropriately used for securing data, thus the key is at a level of security

21   suitable for securing sensitive data.

22

1       Regarding claims 25 and 28, they are the system means and computer program

2    product claims implementing the method of claim 22, and they are rejected, at least, for

3    the same reasons.

4

5       Regarding claims 8, 14, 19, and 20, they are the system means and computer

6    program product claims implementing the method of claims 1, 6, and 7, and they are

7    rejected, at least, for the same reasons.  Further, regarding claim 8 specifically, it is

8    rejected because the combination disclose:

9       *one or more processors; a memory accessible by the processors; one or more*

10   *nonvolatile storage devices accessible by the processors; a hardware security module*

11   *accessible by the processors; a data security tool for securing data using the hardware*

12   *security module* (Al-Salqan, figs. 1, 2; col. 3, lines 16-45).

13

14.      Regarding claims 21 and 23, the combination disclose:

15      *wherein the security module is a separate hardware security module* and *wherein*

16   *encrypting the data is performed within the security module* (DOC, pg. 5, lines 4-6; pg.

17   16, sect. 4.3.2).

18

19      Regarding claims 24, 26, 27, and 29, they are the system means and computer

20   program product claims implementing the method of claims 21 and 23, and they are

21   rejected, at least, for the same reasons.

22

1                              *Response to Arguments*

2

3.          Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot

4    in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5

6                                   *Conclusion*

7

8           The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

9    applicant's disclosure:

10          *See Notice of References Cited.*

11

12          A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire **3** months (not less than 90

13   days) from the mailing date of this communication.

14          Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

15   examiner should be directed to Jeffery Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-

16   7965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

17          If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

18   supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone

19   number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

20   872-9306.

1       Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

2    Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.  Status information for

3    published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

4    Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

5    For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

6    you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

7    Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


8    J. Williams
9    AU: 2137
10   ᴶᵂ


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER